

To: Goehl, Sara[Goehl.Sara@epa.gov]
Cc: Frank, Joyce[Frank.Joyce@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Mon 2/11/2013 3:23:39 PM
Subject: Fw: letters awaiting response
[MTBA windmills VitterAlexander 01302013.pdf](#)
[Unified Agenda Letter EPA- Vitter 01232013.pdf](#)
[Vitter EPW ESA Critical Habitat Economic Impacts.pdf](#)
[Vitter Inhofe Letter to EPA re Pavillion Study 01172013.pdf](#)
[Peer Review Plans EPA inhofevittercrapoalexander.pdf](#)
[Perchlorate EPA letter inhofevitter.pdf](#)
[Delaware wind lease VitterAlexander 11092012.pdf](#)
[Vitter EPA DfE letter 91412.pdf](#)
[Sackett Water Enforcement Letter 5.24.12.pdf](#)
[Dioxin Letter to EPA- VitterInhofe March 2012.pdf](#)
[NAS and EPA CAA Science Letter- Vitter Inhofe.pdf](#)
[WH Response to Scientific Misconduct- 10-18-2011 letter \(VitterInhofelssa\).pdf](#)
[Holdren Response to VitterInhofelssa letter.PDF](#)

Sara.

Can you please see whether these have been responded to? They may not be EPA letters, but please let me know what the status of them are?

Thanks!

----- Forwarded by Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US on 02/11/2013 10:21 AM -----

From: "Maher, Jess" <(b)(6) privacy [email address]@who.eop.gov>
To: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/11/2013 10:18 AM
Subject: FW: letters awaiting response

From: Baig, Zak (EPW) [mailto:Zak_Baig@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2013 12:37 PM
To: Maher, Jess
Subject: FW: letters awaiting response

As mentioned 2nd last ltr did get a response, but as you will see it's skirted the questions. I'm sure some of that was on purpose but anything more substantive would be appreciated on our end.

From: Zumwalt, Bryan (EPW)
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2013 12:05 PM
To: Baig, Zak (EPW)
Subject: letters awaiting response